arXiv:1610.06535v1 [math.AT] 20 Oct 2016

REEDY DIAGRAMS IN $\mathcal{M}$-MODEL CATEGORIES

MONCEF GHAZEL AND FETHI KADHI

Abstract. We study the category of Reedy diagrams in an $\mathcal{M}$-model category, explicitly, we show that if I is a small category, $\mathcal{M}$ is a closed symmetric monoidal category and $\mathcal{C}$ is a closed $\mathcal{M}$-module, then the diagram category $\mathcal{C}^I$ is a closed module over the diagram category $\mathcal{M}^I$. We then prove that if further I is a Reedy category, $\mathcal{M}$ is a monoidal model category and $\mathcal{C}$ is an $\mathcal{M}$-model category, then, with the Reedy model category structures, $\mathcal{C}^I$ is an $\mathcal{M}^I$-model category provided that $\mathcal{M}$ is cofibrantly generated with cofibrant unit.

1. Introduction

The importance of closed symmetric monoidal model category and modules over them has recently been emphasized by a number of useful results c.f. [10], [11], [17], [18]. A closed symmetric monoidal model category is a model category which is also a closed symmetric monoidal category in a compatible way, the homotopy category of such a category has a closed symmetric monoidal category structure. Similarly an $\mathcal{M}$-model category over a closed symmetric monoidal model category $\mathcal{M}$ is a model category $\mathcal{C}$ which is also a closed $\mathcal{M}$-module in a compatible way, The homotopy category $Ho(\mathcal{C})$ of an $\mathcal{M}$-model category $\mathcal{C}$ is a closed module over the homotopy category $Ho(\mathcal{M})$ of $\mathcal{M}$ [9].

Let I be a small category and $\mathcal{M}$ a closed symmetric monoidal category, it is known that the diagram category $\mathcal{M}^I$, with the objectwise product, is a closed symmetric monoidal category and if I is a Reedy category, then the Reedy model structure on the diagram category $\mathcal{M}^I$ is compatible with the monoidal structure [6]. It is our objective in this paper to prove that given a closed $\mathcal{M}$-module $\mathcal{C}$, then the diagram category $\mathcal{C}^I$, with the object wise action, is a closed $\mathcal{M}^I$-module and if I is a Reedy category and $\mathcal{C}$ is an $\mathcal{M}$-model category, then, with the Reedy model category structures, $\mathcal{C}^I$ is an $\mathcal{M}^I$-model category. The methods used in here are similar to those we used in [6], we begin in sections 2 and 3 by reviewing Yoneda's Lemmas for closed symmetric monoidal categories and for closed modules over them, we then derive the corresponding Yoneda's reductions, these will play an essential role in the proof of the closedness of the objectwise action of $\mathcal{M}^I$ on $\mathcal{C}^I$, in section 4 a few more elementary results are proved and used in section 5 to prove of our main result.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 55U35, 18D10, 19D23, 18D15 .

Key words and phrases: Quillen Model category, Reedy category, Symmetric monoidal category, Module over a Symmetric monoidal category.

© Moncef Ghazel and Fethi Kadhi, 2016. Permission to copy for private use granted.

All categories considered in this paper are locally small, for any category $\mathcal{C}$ and any two objects x, y in $\mathcal{C}$, the set of morphisms from x to y is always denoted by $\mathcal{C}(x,y)$.

2. Yoneda's lemma for closed symmetric monoidal categories

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a bicomplete closed symmetric monoidal category with monoidal product $\otimes$, unit k, and internal homomorphism functor denoted exponentially so that for $m, m, p \in \mathcal{M}$, there is a natural isomorphism

$$\mathcal{M}(m \otimes n, p) \cong \mathcal{M}(m, p^n)$$

Let I be a small category and $\mathcal{M}^I$ the category of functors from I to $\mathcal{M}$, for $M, N \in \mathcal{M}^I$, the hom set $\mathcal{M}^I(M,N)$ is the end

$$\mathcal{M}^I(M,N) = \int_i \mathcal{M}(M_i, N_i)$$

Define three new functors

$$\begin{array}{rccl} \otimes: & \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}^I & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}^I \\ & (m, M) & \longmapsto & m \otimes M: \ I \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \\ & & & \qquad\quad i \longmapsto m \otimes M_i \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rccl} \mathrm{map}_{\mathcal{M}^I}: & (\mathcal{M}^I)^{op} \times \mathcal{M}^I & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M} \\ & (M, N) & \longmapsto & \mathrm{map}_{\mathcal{M}^I}(M,N) = \int_i N_i^{M_i} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rccl} & \mathcal{M}^{op} \times \mathcal{M}^I & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}^I \\ & (m, M) & \longmapsto & M^m: \ I \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \\ & & & \qquad\quad i \longmapsto M_i^m \end{array}$$

2.1. Lemma. *The above three functors define a closed $\mathcal{M}$-module structure on $\mathcal{M}^I$.*

Proof. Let $m \in \mathcal{M}$, $M, N \in \mathcal{M}^I$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}^I(m \otimes M, N) &\cong \int_i \mathcal{M}(m \otimes M_i, N_i) \\ &\cong \int_i \mathcal{M}(M_i \otimes m, N_i) \\ &\cong \int_i \mathcal{M}(M_i, N_i^m) \\ &\cong \mathcal{M}^I(M, N^m) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}^I(m\otimes M,N) &\cong \int_i \mathcal{M}(m\otimes M_i,N_i)\\ &\cong \int_i \mathcal{M}(m,N_i^{M_i})\\ &\cong \mathcal{M}(m,\int_i N_i^{M_i})\\ &\cong \mathcal{M}(m,map_{\mathcal{M}^I}(M,N))\end{aligned}$$

we then have natural isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{M}^I(m\otimes M,N)\cong\mathcal{M}^I(M,N^m)\cong\mathcal{M}(m,map_{\mathcal{M}^I}(M,N))$$

and $\mathcal{M}^I$ is a closed $\mathcal{M}$-module.

■

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the category of sets, $U:\mathcal{S}\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}$ and $V:\mathcal{M}\longrightarrow\mathcal{S}$ the functors given by

$$U(S)=\coprod_S k,\qquad V(m)=\mathcal{M}(k,m).$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}(U(S),m) &\cong \mathcal{M}(\coprod_S k,m)\\ &\cong \prod_S \mathcal{M}(k,m)\\ &\cong \mathcal{S}(S,\mathcal{M}(k,m))\\ &\cong \mathcal{S}(S,V(m))\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}h_i^{\mathcal{S}}:\ I &\longrightarrow \mathcal{S}\\ j &\longmapsto h_i(j)=I(i,j)\end{aligned}$$

and $h_i=U^I(h_i^{\mathcal{S}})=U\circ h_i^{\mathcal{S}}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}h_i:\ I &\longrightarrow \mathcal{M}\\ j &\longmapsto \coprod_{I(i,j)} k\end{aligned}$$

For $M\in\mathcal{M}^I$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}^I(h_i,M) &\cong \mathcal{M}^I(U^I(h_i^{\mathcal{S}}),M)\\ &\cong \mathcal{S}^I(h_i^{\mathcal{S}},V^I(M))\\ &\cong V^I(M)_i(\text{by the classical Yoneda's lemma})\\ &\cong \mathcal{M}(k,M_i)\end{aligned}$$

We then have

$$\mathcal{M}^I(h_i, M) \cong \mathcal{M}(k, M_i) \tag{1}$$

The following is a special case of the enriched Yoneda's lemma [3], [12] and [15].

2.2. Lemma. *(Yoneda's lemma for closed symmetric monoidal categories) The functors* $Ev, \Gamma : \mathcal{M}^I \times I \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ *given by*

$$\begin{aligned} Ev(M,i) &= M_i \\ \Gamma(M,i) &= map_{\mathcal{M}^I}(h_i, M) \end{aligned}$$

are equivalent.

Proof. For $m \in \mathcal{M}$, $(M,i) \in \mathcal{M}^I \times I$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(m, \Gamma(M,i)) &\cong \mathcal{M}(m, map_{\mathcal{M}^I}(h_i, M)) \\ &\cong \mathcal{M}(m \otimes h_i, M) \\ &\cong \mathcal{M}^I(h_i, M^m) \\ &\cong \mathcal{M}(k, M_i^m) \text{ (by (1))} \\ &\cong \mathcal{M}(m, M_i) \\ &\cong \mathcal{M}(m, Ev(M,i)) \end{aligned}$$

All isomorphisms are natural, thus Γ and Ev are equivalent. ∎

Define the codifferential of a functor $M : I \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ to be the functor

$$\begin{array}{rccl} CM: & I^{op} \times I & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}^I \\ & (i,j) & \longmapsto & h_i \otimes M_j : \begin{array}{ccc} I & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M} \\ q & \longmapsto & h_i(q) \otimes M_j \end{array} \end{array}$$

The following manifestation of Yoneda's lemma is a special case of Proposition I.5.1 in [4].

2.3. Lemma. *(Yoneda coreduction) Let* $M \in \mathcal{M}^I$*, then* M *is isomorphic to the coend of* CM*, i.e.*

$$M \cong \int^i CM(i,i)$$

Proof. For $N \in \mathcal{M}^I$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}^I(\int^i CM(i,i), N) &\cong \int_i \mathcal{M}^I(CM(i,i), N) \\
&\cong \int_i \mathcal{M}^I(h_i \otimes M_i, N) \\
&\cong \int_i \mathcal{M}(M_i, map_{\mathcal{M}^I}(h_i, N)) \\
&\cong \int_i \mathcal{M}(M_i, N_i) (\text{by Yoneda's Lemma 2.2}) \\
&\cong \mathcal{M}^I(M, N)
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $M \cong \int^i CM(i,i)$ ∎

3. Yoneda's lemma for closed $\mathcal{M}$-modules

Let I, $\mathcal{M}$ be as in the previous section and $\mathcal{C}$ be bicomplete closed $\mathcal{M}$-module,

$$\begin{aligned}
\otimes : \ \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{C} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \\
(m, c) &\longmapsto m \otimes c
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{map}_{\mathcal{C}} : \ \mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \\
(c, d) &\longmapsto \mathrm{map}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, d)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}^{op} \times \mathcal{C} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \\
(m, c) &\longmapsto c^m
\end{aligned}$$

the functors which define the closed $\mathcal{M}$-module structure on $\mathcal{C}$. For $m \in \mathcal{M}$, $c, d \in \mathcal{C}$, we have natural isomrphisms

$$\mathcal{C}(m \otimes c, d) \cong \mathcal{M}(m, \mathrm{map}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, d)) \cong \mathcal{C}(c, d^m)$$

Define new functors

$$\begin{aligned}
\otimes : \ \mathcal{M}^I \times \mathcal{C} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^I \\
(M, c) &\longmapsto M \otimes c : \ I \longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \\
&\qquad\qquad\quad i \longmapsto M_i \otimes c
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
hom_r : \ \mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C}^I &\longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^I \\
(c, X) &\longmapsto hom_r(c, X) : \ I \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \\
&\qquad\qquad\quad\ i \longmapsto map_{\mathcal{C}}(c, X_i)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
hom_l : \ (\mathcal{M}^I)^{op} \times \mathcal{C}^I &\longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \\
(M, X) &\longmapsto hom_l(M, X) = \textstyle\int_i X_i^{M_i}
\end{aligned}$$

3.1. Lemma. *$(\otimes, hom_l, hom_r)$ is an adjunction of two variables from $\mathcal{M}^I \times \mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{C}^I$ (in the sense of Definition 4.1.12 in [9]).*

PROOF. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}^I$, $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and $X \in \mathcal{C}^I$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}^I(M \otimes c, X) &\cong \int_i \mathcal{C}(M_i \otimes c, X_i) \\
&\cong \int_i \mathcal{M}(M_i, map_{\mathcal{C}}(c, X_i)) \\
&\cong \mathcal{M}^I(M, hom_r(c, X))
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}^I(M \otimes c, X) &\cong \int_i \mathcal{C}(M_i \otimes c, X_i) \\
&\cong \int_i \mathcal{C}(c, X_i^{M_i}) \\
&\cong \mathcal{C}(c, \int_i X_i^{M_i}) \\
&\cong \mathcal{C}(c, hom_l(M, X))
\end{aligned}$$

■

Let $h_i : I \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be the functor defined in the previous section

3.2. LEMMA. *(Yoneda's lemma for modules)*
The functors $Ev, \Gamma : \mathcal{C}^I \times I \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}
Ev(X, i) &= X_i \\
\Gamma(X, i) &= hom_l(h_i, X)
\end{aligned}$$

are equivalent.

PROOF. Let $(X, i) \in \mathcal{C}^I \times I$, $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and k the unit object object of $\mathcal{M}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}(c, \Gamma(X, i)) &\cong \mathcal{C}(c, hom_l(h_i, X)) \\
&\cong \mathcal{C}^I(h_i \otimes c, X) \\
&\cong \mathcal{M}^I(h_i, hom_r(c, X)) \\
&\cong \mathcal{M}(k, , hom_r(c, X)_i) \text{ (by (1))} \\
&\cong \mathcal{M}(k, map_{\mathcal{C}}(c, X_i)) \\
&\cong \mathcal{C}(c, X_i) \\
&\cong \mathcal{C}(c, Ev(X, i))
\end{aligned}$$

All isomorphisms are natural, it follows that Ev and Γ are equivalent. ■

For $X \in \mathcal{C}^I$ and $i \in I$, let

$$\begin{array}{rccc} h^i: & I^{op} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M} \\ & j & \longmapsto & \coprod_{I(j,i)} k \end{array}$$

where k is the unit object object of $\mathcal{M}$ and define the codifferential CX and the differential DX of X to be the functors

$$\begin{array}{rccccccc} CX: & I^{op} \times I & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}^I & & & & \\ & (i,j) & \longmapsto & h_i \otimes X_j: & I & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C} \\ & & & & q & \longmapsto & h_i(q) \otimes X_j \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rccccccc} DX: & I^{op} \times I & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}^I & & & & \\ & (i,j) & \longmapsto & X_j^{h^i}: & I & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C} \\ & & & & q & \longmapsto & X_j^{h^i(q)} \end{array}$$

One has the following form of Yoneda coreduction and reduction for modules which is again a special case of Proposition I.5.1 in [4].

3.3. Lemma. *(Yoneda coreduction, reduction for modules)*
Let $X \in \mathcal{C}^I$, then there are natural isomorphisms

$$X \cong \int^i CX(i,i) \tag{2}$$

$$X \cong \int_i DX(i,i) \tag{3}$$

Proof. Let $D \in \mathcal{C}^I$,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathcal{C}^I(\int^i CX(i,i), D) & \cong & \int_i \mathcal{C}^I(CX(i,i), D) \\ & = & \int_i \mathcal{C}^I(h_i \otimes X_i, D) \\ & \cong & \int_i \mathcal{C}(X_i, hom_l(h_i, D)) \\ & \cong & \int_i \mathcal{C}(X_i, D_i) \text{ (by Yoneda's lemma 3.2)} \\ & \cong & \mathcal{C}^I(X, D) \end{array}$$

the isomorphisms are natural so

$$X \cong \int^i CX(i,i)$$

This proves (2). (3) is the dual of (2) in the following sense: a closed action of $\mathcal{M}$ on $\mathcal{C}$ gives rise to a closed action of $\mathcal{M}$ on $\mathcal{C}^{op}$ and (3) is simply (2) applied to the new action of $\mathcal{M}$ on $\mathcal{C}^{op}$.

4. The closed $\mathcal{M}$-model category structure on $\mathcal{C}^I$

Let I, $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ be as in the previous section, define new functors

$$\begin{array}{rccl} \otimes: & \mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{C}^I & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}^I \\ & (m,C) & \longmapsto & m\otimes C: \begin{array}{ccc} I & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C} \\ i & \longmapsto & m\otimes C_i \end{array} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rccl} & \mathcal{M}^{op}\times\mathcal{C}^I & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}^I \\ & (m,C) & \longmapsto & C^m: \begin{array}{ccc} I & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C} \\ i & \longmapsto & c_i^m \end{array} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rccl} \mathrm{map}_{\mathcal{C}^I}: & (\mathcal{C}^I)^{op}\times\mathcal{C}^I & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M} \\ & (C,D) & \longmapsto & \mathrm{map}_{\mathcal{C}^I}(C,D)=\int_i \mathrm{map}_{\mathcal{C}}(C_i,D_i) \end{array}$$

4.1. Lemma. *The above three functors define a closed $\mathcal{M}$-module structure on $\mathcal{C}^I$.*

Proof. Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.1. ∎

The following proposition generalizes Lemma 4.2 in [1].

4.2. Proposition. *Assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is a closed symmetric monoidal model category, $\mathcal{C}$ is a closed $\mathcal{M}$-model category and I is a Reedy category, then, with the Reedy model category structure, $\mathcal{C}^I$ is a closed $\mathcal{M}$-model category.*

Proof. The unit axiom clearly holds, we prove the pushout-product axiom

Step1. I is a direct category.

let $a\xrightarrow{f} b$ be a cofibration in $\mathcal{M}$ and $X\xrightarrow{p} Y$ a fibration in $\mathcal{C}^I$, the maps $X_i\xrightarrow{p_i} Y_i$ are fibrations in $\mathcal{C}$ which are trivial if p is, therefore the maps

$$X_i^b\longrightarrow X_i^a\times_{Y_i^a} Y_i^b$$

are fibrations in $\mathcal{M}$ which are trivial if f or p is, it follows that $X^b\longrightarrow X^a\times_{Y^a} Y^b$ is a fibration in $\mathcal{C}^I$ which is trivial if f or p is, the result then follows from 4.2.2 in [9].

Step 2. The general case.

Let I^+ be the direct subcategory of I used to define the Reedy category structure on I. The inclusion functor $I^+\longrightarrow I$ induces the restriction functor $T_{\mathcal{C}}:\mathcal{C}^I\longrightarrow\mathcal{C}^{I^+}$ which preserves and reflects (trivial) cofibrations.

For $f:a\longrightarrow b$ in $\mathcal{M}$ and $g:X\longrightarrow Y$ in $\mathcal{C}^I$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}^{I^+}$), let

$$f\diamond g: a\otimes Y\coprod_{a\otimes X} b\otimes X\longrightarrow b\otimes Y$$

Assume that f is a cofibration in $\mathcal{M}$ and g is a cofibration in $\mathcal{C}^I$, T preserves (trivial) cofibrations. By step 1, $T_{\mathcal{C}}(f) \diamond g$ is a cofibrations which is trivial if f or g is, or

$$T_{\mathcal{C}}(f) \diamond g = T_{\mathcal{C}}(f \diamond g)$$

and T reflects (trivial) cofibrations, thus $f \diamond g$ is a cofibration which is trivial if f or g is.

■

4.3. Lemma. *Assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is a closed symmetric monoidal model category, $\mathcal{C}$ is a closed $\mathcal{M}$-model category and I is a Reedy category, then, with the Reedy model category structure on $\mathcal{M}^I$ and $\mathcal{C}^I$, the adjunction $(\otimes, hom_l, hom_r)$ given by lemma 3.1 is a Quillen adjunction of two variables from $\mathcal{M}^I \times \mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{C}^I$.*

Proof. Step 1: I is direct
Let $f : a \longrightarrow b$ be cofibration in $\mathcal{C}$ and $g : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a fibration in $\mathcal{C}^I$. $\mathcal{C}$ is a closed $\mathcal{M}$-model category and $g_i : X_i \longrightarrow Y_i$ is a fibration in $\mathcal{C}$, thus the map

$$map_{\mathcal{C}}(b, X_i) \longrightarrow map_{\mathcal{C}}(a, X_i) \times_{map_{\mathcal{C}}(a,Y_i)} map_{\mathcal{C}}(b, Y_i)$$

is a fibration which is trivial if f or g is, thus, the map

$$hom_r(b, X) \longrightarrow hom_r(a, X) \times_{hom_r(a,Y)} hom_r(b, Y)$$

is a fibration in $\mathcal{C}^I$ which is trivial if f or g is.
Step 2: The general case
Let I^+ be the direct subcategory of I used to define the Reedy category structure on I. The inclusion functor $I^+ \longrightarrow I$ induces restriction functors $T_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M}^I \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^{I^+}$ and $T_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{C}^I \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{I^+}$ which preserve and reflect (trivial) cofibrations.
For $f : A \longrightarrow B$ in $\mathcal{M}^I$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}^{I^+}$) and $g : c \longrightarrow d$ in $\mathcal{C}$, let

$$f \diamond g : A \otimes d \coprod_{A \otimes c} B \otimes c \longrightarrow B \otimes d$$

be the the pushout-product of f and g. Suppose that f is a cofibration in $\mathcal{M}^I$ and g is a cofibration in $\mathcal{C}$.

$$T_{\mathcal{C}}(f \diamond g) = T_{\mathcal{M}}(f) \diamond g$$

$T_{\mathcal{M}}$ preserves (trivial) cofibration, by step 1., $T_{\mathcal{M}}(f) \diamond g$ is a cofibration which is trivial if f or g is. $T_{\mathcal{C}}$ reflects (trivial) cofibration, thus $f \diamond g$ is a cofibration which is trivial if f or g is. It follows that the adjunction $(\otimes, hom_{\mathcal{C}}, hom_r)$ is a Quillen adjunction.

■

5. The closed $\mathcal{M}^I$-model structure on $\mathcal{C}^I$

Let I, $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ be as in the previous section, hom_l the functor defined in Section 3 and $map_{\mathcal{C}^I}$ the functor defined in Section 4, the functor

$$\begin{array}{rccl} \otimes: & \mathcal{M}^I \times \mathcal{C}^I & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}^I \\ & (M,C) & \longmapsto & M\otimes C: \ I \longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \\ & & & \quad\ i \longmapsto M_i \otimes C_i \end{array}$$

defines an $\mathcal{M}^I$-module structure on $\mathcal{C}^I$, we want to show that the action is two sided closed, i.e. there exist two functors

$$\mathrm{Hom} : (\mathcal{M}^I)^{op} \times \mathcal{C}^I \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^I$$

and

$$\mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}^I} : (\mathcal{C}^I)^{op} \times \mathcal{C}^I \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^I$$

such that there are natural isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{C}^I(M\otimes X, Y) \cong \mathcal{C}^I(X, \mathrm{Hom}(M,Y)) \cong \mathcal{M}^I(M, \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}^I}(X,Y))$$

We use Yoneda's Lemmas 2.2 and 3.2 to construct these functors and use Yoneda's reduction 3.3 to prove that they satisfy the desired properties, to start with, assume that these functors exist. For $c\in\mathcal{C}$, $M,N\in\mathcal{M}^I$ and $X\in\mathcal{C}^I$ one has

$$\begin{array}{rcll} \mathcal{C}(c, hom_l(N, Hom(M,X)))) & \cong & \mathcal{C}^I(N\otimes c, Hom(M,X)) & \text{(by Lemma 3.1)} \\ & \cong & \mathcal{C}^I(M\otimes N\otimes c, X) & \\ & \cong & \mathcal{C}(c, hom_l(M\otimes N, X)) & \end{array}$$

Thus, $hom_l(N, Hom(M,X)) \cong hom_l(M\otimes N, X)$. By taking $N = h_i$ and using lemma 2.2, one gets

$$Hom(M,X)_i \cong hom_l(M\otimes h_i, X).$$

Define

$$\begin{array}{rccl} \mathrm{Hom}: & (\mathcal{M}^I)^{op}\times\mathcal{C}^I & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}^I \\ & (M,X) & \longmapsto & \mathrm{Hom}(M,X): \ I \longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \\ & & & \quad\ i \longmapsto \mathrm{hom}_l(M\otimes h_i, X) \end{array}$$

Similarly, for $m\in\mathcal{M}, M\in\mathcal{M}^I$ and $X,Y\in\mathcal{C}^I$

$$\begin{array}{rcll} \mathcal{M}(m, \mathrm{map}_{\mathcal{M}^I}(M, \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}^I}(X,Y))) & \cong & \mathcal{M}^I(m\otimes M, \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}^I}(X,Y)) & \text{(by Lemma 2.1)} \\ & \cong & \mathcal{C}^I(m\otimes M\otimes X, Y) & \\ & \cong & \mathcal{M}(m, \mathrm{map}_{\mathcal{C}^I}(M\otimes X, Y)) & \text{(by Lemma 4.1)} \end{array}$$

It follows that

$$\mathrm{map}_{\mathcal{M}^I}(M, \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}^I}(X,Y)) \cong \mathrm{map}_{\mathcal{C}^I}(M\otimes X, Y).$$

By taking $M = h_i$ and applying lemma 2.2, we get

$$\mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}^I}(X,Y)_i \cong \mathrm{map}_{\mathcal{C}^I}(h_i \otimes X, Y).$$

Define

$$\begin{array}{rccl} \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}^I}: & (\mathcal{C}^I)^{op} \times \mathcal{C}^I & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}^I \\ & (X,Y) & \longmapsto & \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}^I}(X,Y): \ I \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \\ & & & \qquad\qquad\quad i \longmapsto \mathrm{map}_{\mathcal{C}^I}(h_i \otimes X, Y) \end{array}$$

5.1. Proposition. *The above three functors define a closed $\mathcal{M}^I$-module structure on $\mathcal{C}^I$.*

Proof. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}^I$, X, $Y \in \mathcal{C}^I$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}^I(M, \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}^I}(X,Y)) &\cong \int_i \mathcal{M}(M_i, \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}^I}(X,Y)_i) \\ &\cong \int_i \mathcal{M}(M_i, \mathrm{map}_{\mathcal{C}^I}(h_i \otimes X, Y) \\ &\cong \int_i \mathcal{C}^I(M_i \otimes h_i \otimes X, Y) (\text{by Lemma 4.1}) \\ &\cong \int_i \mathcal{C}^I(h_i \otimes M_i \otimes X, Y) \\ &\cong \mathcal{C}^I(\int^i h_i \otimes M_i \otimes X, Y) \\ &\cong \mathcal{C}^I((\int^i h_i \otimes M_i) \otimes X, Y) \\ &\cong \mathcal{C}^I(M \otimes X, Y) (\text{by Yoneda coreduction 2.3}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}^I(X, \mathrm{Hom}(M,Y)) &\cong \int_i \mathcal{C}(X_i, \mathrm{Hom}(M,Y)_i) \\ &\cong \int_i \mathcal{C}(X_i, \mathrm{hom}_l(M \otimes h_i, Y)) \\ &\cong \int_i \mathcal{C}^I(M \otimes h_i \otimes X_i, Y) (\text{by Lemma 3.1}) \\ &\cong \mathcal{C}^I(\int^i M \otimes h_i \otimes X_i, Y) \\ &\cong \mathcal{C}^I(M \otimes \int^i h_i \otimes X_i, Y) \\ &\cong \mathcal{C}^I(M \otimes X, Y) (\text{by Yoneda coreduction 3.3}) \end{aligned}$$

■

The following Lemma is proved in [6].

5.2. Lemma. *Let I be a direct category, $\mathcal{M}$ a closed symmetric monoidal model category with cofibrant unit k, $p, q \in I$ and $f : a \longrightarrow b$ a (trivial) cofibration in $\mathcal{M}$, then, with the Reedy model category structure*

1. *$h_p \otimes h_q$ is cofibrant*
2. *$h_p \otimes h_q \otimes f : h_p \otimes h_q \otimes a \longrightarrow h_p \otimes h_q \otimes b$ is a (trivial) cofibration in $\mathcal{M}^I$.*

5.3. Theorem. *Let I be a Reedy category, $\mathcal{M}$ a cofibrantly generated closed symmetric monoidal model category with cofibrant unit k and $\mathcal{C}$ a closed $\mathcal{M}$-model category, then $\mathcal{C}^I$ is a closed $\mathcal{M}^I$- model category.*

Proof. By 5.1, $\mathcal{C}^I$ is a closed $\mathcal{M}^I$-module, the unit axiom clearly holds, we prove the pushout-product axiom.

Step 1: I is direct and $\mathcal{M}$.
By Remark 5.1.8 in [9], $\mathcal{M}^I$ is cofibrantly generated with generating (trivial) cofibrations are the maps

$$h_q \otimes f : h_q \otimes a \longrightarrow h_q \otimes b$$

where $f : a \longrightarrow b$ is a generating (trivial) cofibration $\mathcal{M}$ and $q \in I$, so let f be such a map, $q \in I$ and $g : X \longrightarrow Y$ a fibration in $\mathcal{C}^I$, by Lemma 5.2

$$h_q \otimes f \otimes h_p : h_q \otimes a \otimes h_p \longrightarrow h_q \otimes b \otimes h_p$$

is a (trivial) cofibration in $\mathcal{M}^I$ and by Lemma 4.3 ,

$$hom_l(h_q \otimes b \otimes h_p, X) \longrightarrow hom_l(h_q \otimes a \otimes h_p, X) \times_{hom_l(h_q \otimes a \otimes h_p, Y)} hom_l(h_q \otimes b \otimes h_p, Y)$$

is a fibration in $\mathcal{C}$ which is trivial if f or p is, it follows that

$$Hom(h_q \otimes b, X) \longrightarrow Hom(h_q \otimes a, X) \times_{Hom(h_q \otimes a, Y)} Hom(h_q \otimes b, Y)$$

is a fibration in $\mathcal{C}^I$ which is trivial if f or p is, therefore $\mathcal{C}^I$ is a closed $\mathcal{M}^I$- model category.
Step 2: I is an arbitrary Reedy category.
An argument that uses step 1 and strictly similar to the one used in step 2 in the proof of 4.3 shows the desired result.

■

5.4. Remark. The notion of Reedy categories was extended to an interesting wider class of small categories, while keeping true the main theorem about model categories [2], their generalized categories apply to many new interesting cases occurring in topology. We expect that the results of this paper apply to their categories.

References

[1] C. Barwick, On Reedy Model Categories. arXiv:math/0708.2832

[2] C. Berger, I. Moerdijk. On an extension of the notion of Reedy category. Math. Z. 269, 2011.

[3] F. Borceux, Handbook of categorical algebra 2, categories and structures, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 51, Cambridge University Press, 1994.

[4] E. J. Dubuc, Kan extensions in enriched category theory, Springer, 1970.

[5] W. G. Dwyer, P. S. Hirschhorn, D. M. Kan, Model categories and More General Abstract Homotopy theory: A Work in What We Like to Think of as Progress, Preprint.

[6] M. Ghazel, F. Kadhi, Reedy diagrams in symmetric monoidal model categories, Preprint.arxiv.org/abs/1609.09623

[7] P. Goerss and J. Jardine Simplicial Homotopy Theory, Progress in Mathematics 174, *Birkhäuser* 1999.

[8] P. S. Hirschhorn, Model categories and their localizations, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 99, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.

[9] M. Hovey, Model categories, Surveys and Monographs of the Amer. Math.Soc. 63, 1999.

[10] M. Hovey, Monoidal model categories, preprint, 1998.

[11] M. Hovey, B. Shipley, and J. Smith. Symmetric spectra. J. Amer. Math. Soc., 13(1):149208, 2000

[12] G. M. Kelly, Basic concepts of enriched category theory, Repr. Theory Appl. Categ, 2005.

[13] F. Loregian This is the (co)end, my only (co)friend, Preprint.

[14] S. MacLane, Categories for the working mathematician, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 5, Springer-Verlag, 1971.

[15] E. Riehl, Categorical Homotopy Theory, New Mathematical Monographs, vol 24, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014

[16] D. Quillen Homotopical algebra, Lecture notes in Mathematics 43, Springer, Berlin, 1967.

[17] S. Schwede and B. Shipley,Algebras and modules in monoidal model categories Proc. London Math. Soc. 80, 2000.

[18] D. White, Model Structures on Commutative Monoids in General Model Categories, preprint, 2016.

Institut Préparatoire aux Etudes d'Ingénieurs Elmanar, B.P 244, Tunis 2092, Tunis Elmanar University, Tunisia.

National School of Computer Science (ENSI), Manouba University, Manouba 2010, Tunisia.

Email: moncef.ghazel@gmail.com
fethi.kadhi@ensi-uma.tn